

DRAFT MINUTES OF THE SAFER COMMUNITIES EXECUTIVE BOARD HELD ON MONDAY 25 JUNE 2007 at 11.00 HRS

MEMBERS PRESENT AT THE MEETING:

ORGANISATIONS	NAME OF REPRESENTATIVE
Haringey Council	Dr Ita O'Donovan Claire Kowalska Jean Croot
Haringey Teaching Primary Care Trust	
Haringey Peace Alliance	
Haringey Metropolitan Police	Cmdr Simon O'Brien
Haringey Fire Service	John Brown
Haringey Probation Service	
Homes for Haringey	Stephen Clarke
Haringey Community & Police Consultative Group	Enid Ledgister
Mental Health Trust	
Voluntary Services Haringey	
Haringey Association of Voluntary & Community Organisations	Stanley Hui
Metropolitan Police Authority	
Haringey Magistrates Court	

OTHERS PRESENT AT MEETING:

	Margaret Barker
	Lenny Kinnear
	Fred Ellis
	Jamie Robinson
	Nicolas Mattis
	Andrew Meek
	Stephanie Beer
	Gaynor Houghton-Jones
	Mark Napier
	Carolyn Sullivan
	Sean Sweeney

SCEB01. GOVERNANCE (Agenda Item 9):

The Board was informed that its Terms of Reference had been updated by the SCEB Performance Management Group to include a code of guidance reference as well as a Risk Register. This was in line with good practice and recommended under the recent Audit Commission's review of the Safer Communities Partnership. The Register would be reviewed bi-annually in order to flag up missing potential

risks, and to ensure various recommendations from the HSP Review on theme boards are taken on board and included in the Terms of Reference. The Board was also informed of the clause within the updated Terms of Reference in respect of the Chair. In line with common practice among Crime and Disorder Reduction Partnerships (of which the Board is one), the Chair would be the Chief Executive of the accountable authority, namely, Haringey Council, subject to agreement at the first meeting of the financial year. In addition, the Vice-Chair would be the Police Borough Commander.

RESOLVED

That the updated Terms of Reference and Risk Register be approved subject to review in due course once the HSP Review has been completed.

SCEB02. APPOINTMENT OF CHAIR OF SCEB FOR 2007/8 (Agenda Item 1):

RESOLVED

That the Board agreed to the appointment of the Chief Executive of Haringey Council as Chair of the Board for 2007/8.

SCEB03. APPOINTMENT OF VICE-CHAIR OF SCEB FOR 2007/8 (Agenda Item 2):

RESOLVED

That the Board agreed to the appointment of the Police Borough Commander as Vice-Chair of the Board for 2007/8.

SCEB04. WELCOME, APOLOGIES AND INTRODUCTIONS (Agenda Item 3):

Apologies were received from the following SCEB members:

Marion Morris
Nims Obunge
Mun Thong Phung
Deborah Cohen
Sean Walker
Kirsten Hearn

Apologies were also received from the following observers:

Zena Brabazon

SCEB05. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST (Agenda Item 4):

None declared at this stage of the meeting.

SCEB06. URGENT BUSINESS (Agenda Item 5):

None.

SCEB07. MINUTES (Agenda Item 4):

RESOLVED

That the minutes of the previous meeting held on 12 March 2007 were agreed and signed by Chair, subject to the following amendment:

- SCEB46 - Apologies added from Councillor Canver

SCEB08. NEETS – PROGRESS AND FORWARD PLAN (Agenda Item 7):

The Board was given a presentation in respect of NEETs which outlined some of the facts, needs, approaches, and future issues. A summary of the presentation is provided at Appendix One to these minutes. In addition, information in respect of the NEETs statistical neighbours is provided at Appendix Two.

SCEB09. EVALUATION OF PEACE WEEK

The Board received a presentation compiled by the Centre for Public Innovation which concluded in general terms that the Week of Peace had no overall tangible impact on crime within Haringey. Given this assessment, the Board was informed that there was scope for further focus of activities and their outcomes and the Peace Alliance had accepted the findings and would take the issues forward in terms of actions to ensure improved tangible impacts. The Board was advised that coherent outcomes and objectives were needed for the Week of Peace because a week of activity would not on its own lead to long-lasting impacts on crime. The Board noted however that the Week of Peace did have some positive affects on the messages over crime.

RESOLVED

That the presentation and findings be noted by the Board.

SCEB10. TERRORISM UPDATE

The Board was informed that there had been no significant change in the level of threat from terrorism. Attacks continue to be planned according to intelligence, and the Board was advised that continued observation, care and mindfulness of the threats was needed and this message was an important one to cascade down throughout partnership agencies and organisations. The Board was also informed that joint work had begin between the Police and Accorde, in respect of identify suspicious waste materials.

RESOLVED

That the verbal update was noted by the Board.

SCEB11. OLYMPIC SECURITY REPORT

The Board received a report on likely overall impact of the 2012 Games to London in terms of security and key issues in terms of social, economic and public safety. The Board was informed that the security approach covered five broad areas, namely:

- (i) Target hardening
- (ii) Human security
- (iii) Infrastructure
- (iv) Readiness

(v) Post incident management

The Board was advised of some proposed actions in terms future arrangements for dealing with these issues. One proposal was for establishing a Haringey Olympic & Paralympics Steering Group to take the overall lead in ensuring that the borough derives maximum benefit from the Games, whilst managing risks. The Board was advised that an early look emergency and risk aspects in respect of the Games was necessary in order to arrange the partnership approaches to the issues. However, it was informed that the proposed actions to accomplish this needed further thought and a tightening up of the report and its logistics in terms of the scope for such a steering group.

RESOLVED

That the report be noted, and that its content in terms of highlighting the logistics and scope for managing risks around the preparations for the Games be reconsidered and re-presented to the Board in due course.

SCEB12. YEAR END PERFORMANCE REPORT

The Board was advised that in respect of targets for the YOS, only NEETS had not met its target to date (red indicator), and four areas were highlighted at amber performance. Attempts to rectify this were ongoing as part of a overview of performance into the YOS. There was good news reported to the Board in respect of performance in relation to the British Crime Survey targets where Haringey had, with the exception of theft from a motor vehicle, exceeded its targets. In terms of drug intervention programmes, the Board was informed that there were problems with meeting targets in this areas but that there was optimism for next year.

RESOLVED

That the performance indicators be noted by the Board.

SCEB13. PROGRESS WITH COMMUNITY POLICE CONSULTATIVE GROUP

The Board was informed of the work of the HCPCG and its proposal for the Ward Panel Chairs and Partnership Forum (WPCPF) to identify strategic priorities for consideration by the Board. The Board was advised that this would strengthen the role of the HCPCG through better consultation and information sharing amongst wards and safer communities teams, and would enable gaps to be filled in terms of representation from groups such as youth, ethnic minorities, and faith groups.

RESOLVED

That the content of report be noted by the Board.

That the proposed WPCPF arrangements be agreed.

That HCPCG moves to enhance consultation be noted.

SCEB14. PROJECT EVALUATION

The Board was informed about the Safer Haringey evaluation framework and given that it was the accountable body for this, key milestones and implications were highlighted. The Board was advised that an exception report would be presented at its next meeting in October.

RESOLVED

That the Safer Haringey Evaluation Framework update be noted by the Board.

SCEB15. DRAFT ANNUAL REVIEW 2006/7

The Board was presented with the draft *Keeping Haringey Safe Across all our Communities Annual Review 2006/7* and asked for comments/responses to this by the end of the week.

RESOLVED

That the draft review be noted and responses offered by said deadline.

SCEB16. ANY OTHER BUSINESS (Agenda Item 16):

There were two items:

- (i) Board members were invited to provide comments to the draft Haringey Well-Being Strategic Framework consultation process.
- (ii) *[insert Gaynor Haughton-Jones text here]*

SCEB17. ITEMS OF URGENT BUSINESS (Agenda Item 17):

None

SCEB18. DATE OF NEXT MEETINGS (Agenda Item 18):

The Board requested that future dates be set on either Thursday or Fridays.

SCEB19. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS (Agenda Item 19):

Partners should submit proposed agenda items for the next meeting to Nicolas Mattis (nicolas.mattis@haringey.gov.uk).

The meeting finished at 12:50

.....

Dr ITA O'DONOVAN

Chair, Safer Communities Executive Board 2007/8

Date.....

Facts

YOT have reported an increase in pre-16 and post-16 young offenders in EET in the last quarter Jan - March 2007 from 66.6% to 82%. This is a significant increase although most of the increase is with the pre-16 group.

165 young offenders are known to CxNL - 29 are of school age and 136 are post compulsory school age.

86% (25) of the 29 school aged young offenders are in school and 14% (4) are in custody.

136 post-16 young offenders are known to CxNL. 38% (52) are in EET, 29% (40) are NEET, 40 are at unknown locations, 3 in custody and 1 has no official status.

Of the 40 NEET young offenders, 34 are seeking education, employment, or training, 18 of whom are on a PA's caseload. 6 are not available to the labour market.

Needs

The needs of this group are similar to the general NEET group although the PA based within the YOT has observed that young offenders who are also LAC/ Leaving Care and those who have LDD needs seem to be the most difficult group to place in EET. They seem to lack confidence and are more reluctant to engage with EET provision, possibly because they are embarrassed by their lack of basic skills.

Approaches

2 personal advisors are based within the YOT.

There is a strong multi -agency approach to working and meeting the needs of young offenders. PAs work well with the housing officer, job centre plus 17/18 year olds adviser, YOT officers, RAP Officer and leaving care team. Everyone seems to be aware of their respective role in supporting young offenders.

The PA helps complete ES9 forms with young offenders. This speeds the process up for the young offender and has enabled a good working relationship to be developed with the Job Centre Plus staff.

Raised the profile of the multi-agency centre and the services on offer and encouraged young offenders to attend.

An increase in the interest and involvement of parents, especially parents of those that are in EET.

YOS have raised the value and profile of EET within the team and ensured that YOT officers are making more appropriate referrals to PAs.

PAs have worked very hard to find EET placements for young offenders.

Data recording has improved on the YOIS (the YOT database) to capture the destination data of the young offenders.

PAs are involved in working with young people who are on the Prevent and Deter list.

YOT have appointed a new worker whose role is to support EET young offenders to remain in their provision and, hence, minimise the number that drop out and become NEET. This is a new initiative that should free up PA time to concentrate on finding EET provision for NEET young offenders.

PAs have been supporting a young female group and introducing them to the available EET options.

PAs support young offenders at the Keston Rd project every Tuesday.

PAs have continued to carry out prison visits with those on DTO 6 weeks prior to release date.

Future Issues

Pan-London approach to offender learning is based on mainstream provision.
Young offenders are difficult to place.

The borough needs to maintain a supply of entry level provision that enables these young people to progressively develop skills and confidence to tackle and achieve Level 2 qualifications.

CxNL is promoting an employer safety net approach to supporting apprenticeships to increase retention and completion.

Further development of the parent support group.

Evaluate the involvement of the new worker in terms of retaining young offenders in EET provision.

Evaluate what factors have contributed to the increase in EET and look at ways to maintain performance.

PAs and other YOT staff intend to start a job club for young offenders.

More effective links established with economic regeneration.

HARINGEY AND ITS STATISTICAL NEIGHBOURS: NEETs, NOT KNOWNs AND IN LEARNING

MARCH 2007

Close Statistical Neighbour

Somewhat Close Statistical Neighbour

1. NEETs

Borough	NEET 16-18 (%)	Provisional 2010 Target
Waltham Forest	6.8%	6.0%
Lewisham	7.3%	7.6%
Islington	8.1%	11.4%
Hammersmith & Fulham	8.7%	8.8%
Southwark	11.2%	10.7%
Lambeth	11.8%	11.8%
HARINGEY	13.2%	10.4%
Hackney	14.7%	11.5%
England Average	8.0%	6.0%

2. Not Knowns

Borough	Not Knowns 16-18 (%)	Provisional 2010 Target
Southwark	4.6%	10.2%
Lambeth	5.1%	11.3%
Lewisham	5.5%	7.1%
Islington	7.0%	10.9%
Waltham Forest	9.0%	5.5%
Hammersmith & Fulham	9.7%	8.3%
HARINGEY	9.7%	9.9%
Hackney	13.3%	11.0%
England Average	4.9%	5.5%

3. In Learning

Borough	In Learning 16-18 (%)	Provisional 2010 Target
Lewisham	84.1%	N/A
Islington	82.9%	N/A
Waltham Forest	80.5%	N/A
Lambeth	79.3%	N/A
Hammersmith & Fulham	79.1%	N/A
Southwark	79.0%	N/A
HARINGEY	74.0%	N/A
Hackney	70.6%	N/A
England Average	76.0%	N/A